## IDW Bullseye Meeting <br> October 6, 2021



## Bullseye Agenda

- NSF ebook
- The Regents Honors Endorsement Analysis
- Regents Course Grade Analysis
- Advanced Regents Diploma Rates
- Common Data Views
- Projected NYS Proficiency by Season and Grade


## NSF Data Collaborative

- Four year NSF Grant Partnership between Nassau BOCES and Teachers College, Columbia University
- Dr. Alex Bowers
- eBook published in August
- 15 Contributing Authors from Nassau County



## NSF Data Collaborative eBook

Contributing Authors

| Nassau BOCES | Oceanside UFSD <br> Meflissa O'Geary <br> Leff Davis | Baldwin UFSD <br> Anthony Mignella <br> Fred Cohen |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Losh McPherson | Leslie Duffy |  |
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# Regents Honors Endorsement Analysis 

Jeff Davis

Nassau BOCES

Leslie Duffy
Baldwin UFSD

## Overview



## Addressing a Need

## * Curriculum and Instruction

EGeneral Education and Diploma Requirements

## General Resources

## Appeals, Safety Nets, and

Superintendent Determination

Credit Requirements

Diploma and Graduation Resources

## Diploma Type

Endorsements and Seals
Advanced Regents with Honors Regents with Honors
Seal of Biliteracy
Technical Endorsement

## xiting Credentials

Multiple Pathways
ransfer Students

## Regents Diploma with Honors

To earn a Regents Diploma with Honors, a student needs to have a computed average score of 90 or higher on all Regents examinations required for the Regents diploma (no rounding up is permitted)

The examination requirements include a passing score on the following Regents exams or Department Approved Alternatives (a)

- English Language Arts (ELA)
- one mathematics
- one science
- one social studies
- one pathway assessment or CDOS

Students who substitute more than 2 Department Approved Alternatives for these required Regents exams are not eligible for the honors endorsement.

In instances where students received exemptions from Regents Examinations, the calculation for the honors endorsement will be dependent upon the number of scored Regents Examinations the student has.

- Students with a minimum of three scored Regents Examinations applicable to the diploma
- In instances where students have at least three scored Regents Examinations to be included in the assessments required for the diploma type, exemptions due to COVID-19 would be removed from the calculation. If the computed average of the Regents Examination scores required for the diploma (not including exemptions) equals 90 or above, the student earned the honors endorsement.
- Students with fewer than three scored Regents Examinations applicable to the diploma
- The student's final course grade for each exempted Regents Examination will be substituted in the calculation for honors. If the computed average of the scored Regents Examinations and the final course grades for courses for which exemptions were granted equals 90 or above, the student earned the honors endorsement


## Examples

Example 1:

| English Language Arts (ELA) | 97 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Algebra I | 76 |
| Living Environment | 99 |
| US History \& Government | 88 |
| CDOS | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
|  | Average |
|  | $\mathbf{9 0}$ |

This student earned a Regents Diploma with Honors. The student's computed average equals $90(97+76+99+88=360 ; 360 / 4=$ $90)$. The CDOS commencement pathway represents the final diploma requirement; therefore, the average is based only on the 4 Regents exam scores.

## Example 2:

| English Language Arts (ELA) | 65 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Geometry | 100 |
| Chemistry | 95 |
| Global History \& Geography | 96 |
| Algebra II | 98 |
| Average | 90.8 |

This student earned a Regents Diploma with Honors. The student's computed average equals $90(65+100+95+96+98=454$; $454 / 4=90.8$ ). The additional math Regents exam represents the pathway for this student; therefore, the average is based on the 5 Regents exam scores.

## Examples

## Example 3:

| English Language Arts (ELA) | 88 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Algebra I | 96 |
| Living Environment | 100 |
| US History \& Government | 77 |
| AP Biology Exam | 3 |
|  | Average | $\mathbf{9 0 . 2 5}$|  |
| :--- |

This student earned a Regents Diploma with Honors. The student's computed average equals $90(88+96+100+77=361 ; 361 / 4=$ $90.25)$. The AP Biology exam represents the pathway for this student; therefore, the average is based only on the 4 Regents exam scores.

## Examples

## Example 4 (with exemptions):

| English Language Arts (ELA) | E |
| :--- | :--- |
| Final Course Grade: 94 |  |
| Algebra I | 92 |
| Earth Science | 89 |
| Global Studies \& Geography | E |
| Final Course Grade: 96 | E |
| US History | 91.8 |
| Final Course Grade: 88 |  |
| Average |  |

Since the student has fewer than three scored Regents Examinations, the student's final course grades for the courses for which exemptions were granted must be substituted in the calculation for the honors endorsement.

The student's computed average equals $91.8(94+92+89+96+88+5)$. Since the computed average of the two Regents Examination scores $(92,89)$ and the final course grades $(94,96,88)$ equals 90 or above, the student earned the Regents diploma with honors.

## Course Data Collection

- Course data from eScholar Student Class Grade Detail
- NYSED only requires Class Detail Outcome (Pass, Fail, Not Complete)
- Numeric Grade is considered a "Regional Reporting" field and not mandatory
- Some student management systems only output official "NYS Reporting" fields
- Course-Regents linkage based on official "State Course Codes for Courses ending in State Exams"


## State Course Codes Used

| State Course Description | State Course Code | Regents |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Algebra I (Common Core) | 02052 CC | Algebra I Regents (CC) |
| Algebra II (Common Core) | 02056 CC | Algebra II Regents (CC) |
| Biology | 03051 | Living Environment Regents |
| Chemistry | 03101 | Chemistry Regents |
| Earth Science | 03001 | Earth Science Regents |
| English/Language Arts III (Common Core) | 01003 CC | English Regents CC |
| Geometry (Common Core) | 02072 CC | Geometry Regents (CC) |
| Physics | 03151 | Physics Regents |
| U. S. History and Government (Framework) | 04101 F | Regents US History \& Gov't (Framework) |
| U.S. History-Comprehensive | 04101 | US History Regents |
| World History and Geography | 04052 | Global History Regents / Global History <br> Transition Regents |
| World History and Geography (New <br> Framework) | 04052 NF | NF Global History Regents |

## Honors Endorsement Analysis and COVID-19

- Q: How do we average exemptions?
- A: Use course grades (sort of).
- Students with a minimum of three scored Regents exams: Regents only
- Students with fewer than three scored Regents exams: Combination of Regents scores and course grades
- Generally, the highest scored Regents / Exemptions
- Only the Regents included to determine the diploma type are used
- Cannot substitute course grades if Regents score exists, unless student received 2021 exemption


## Computing the Honors Endorsement


http://www.nysed.gov/common/nysed/files/programs/curriculum-instruction/honorsendorsement.pdf

## Computing the Honors Endorsement

- First things first: Determine the diploma type by "Filling the buckets"

| Regents/Local Diploma <br> Assessment Requirements |  | Regents Diploma with Advanced Designation <br> Assessment Requirements |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| English |  | English |  |  |
| Mathematics |  | Mathematics 1 <br> Mathematics 2 <br> Mathematics 3 |  |  |
| Science |  | Life Science <br> Physical Science |  |  |
| Social Studies |  | Social Studies |  |  |
| Pathway |  | Pathway |  |  |
| Students must also complete a sequence for advanced <br> designation (LOTE, Arts, or CTE). |  |  |  |  |

## Check for Advanced Designation



English 11 Course - 93
Global Hist Regents-91
US Hist Course-90
Geometry Regents- 88
Biology R Course - 87
Algebra I Regents- 83
Earth Science Regents-79

Sort
Regents
and
Exemptions
from
highest
score to
lowest
score

Chemistry Regents-77

## Check for Regents/Local Diploma

| Regents/Local Diploma <br> Assessment Requirements |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| English |  |  |
| Mathematics |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Science |  |  |
| Social Studies |  |  |
| Pathway |  |  |
| 89.8 |  |  |

Since we have fewer than three Regents scores, we include final course grades in the average computation



## IDW Regents Honors Endorsement Analysis

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Advanced Regents Diploma |  | Regents Diploma |  | ELA |  | Math 1 |  | Math 2 |  | Math 3 |  | Life Science |  | Physical Science |  | Physical Science <br> 2 |  | Social Studies 1 |  | Social Studies 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regents With Numeric Grades | Regents Scores Used for Average | Exempt With Course Grade | \# <br> Exempt Without Course Grades | Grade | Cohort | Candidate | Average | Candidate | Average | Score | Exempt? | Score | Exempt? | Score | Exempt? | Score | Exempt? | Score | Exempt? | Score | Exempt? | Score | Exempt? | Score | Exempt? | Score | Exempt? |
| 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 09 | 2020 | No |  | No |  |  |  | 94 | E | 93 | E |  |  | 94 |  | 94 | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 2018 | No |  | Yes | 69.8 | 77 | E | 66 |  |  |  |  |  | 73 |  |  |  |  |  | 68 | E | 65 | E |
| 4 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 2018 | Pending |  | No |  |  | E | 97 |  | 93 |  | 87 | E | 93 |  | 98 |  | 85 | E |  | E |  | E |
| 6 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 2017 | Yes | 82.33 | No |  | 78 | E | 82 |  | 78 |  | 75 |  | 83 |  | 91 | E | 83 |  | 93 |  |  | E |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 08 |  | No |  | No |  |  |  | 91 | E |  |  |  |  | 98 | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 08 |  | No |  | No |  |  |  | 93 | E |  |  |  |  | 100 | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 2018 | No |  | No |  |  |  | 65 |  |  |  |  |  | 75 |  |  |  |  |  | 65 | E |  |  |
| 6 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 2017 | Yes | 91 | No |  | 92 | E | 94 |  | 88 |  | 86 |  | 93 |  | 95 | E | 94 |  | 91 |  |  | E |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 08 |  | No |  | No |  |  |  | 94 | E |  |  |  |  | 94 | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 2018 | Pending |  | No |  |  | E | 90 |  | 86 |  | 85 | E | 93 |  | 100 | E | 91 | E |  | E |  | E |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 08 |  | No |  | No |  |  |  | 91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 08 |  | No |  | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 08 |  | No |  | No |  |  |  | 93 | E |  |  |  |  | 93 | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 08 |  | No |  | No |  |  |  | 65 | E |  |  |  |  | 77 | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2020 | No |  | No |  |  |  | 78 |  |  |  |  |  | 88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 2017 | Yes | 70.6 | No |  | 89 | E | 74 |  | 73 |  | 65 |  | 68 |  | 84 | E | 73 |  | 80 | E | 71 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 2017 | No |  | No |  | 65 | E | 66 | E |  |  |  |  | 66 | E |  |  |  |  | 75 | E |  |  |
| 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 2017 | No |  | Yes | 79.67 | 84 | E | 65 |  |  |  |  |  | 82 |  |  |  |  |  | 92 |  | 84 | E |

## IDW Regents Honors Endorsement Analysis

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Advanced Regents Diploma |  | Regents Diploma |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | \# <br> Regents With Numeric Grades | Regents <br> Scores <br> Used <br> for <br> Average | Exempt With Course Grade | \# <br> Exempt Without Course Grades | Grade | Cohort | Candidate | Average | Candidate | Average |
| 010 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 09 | 2020 | No |  | No |  |
| 021 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 2018 | No |  | Yes | 69.8 |
| 016 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 2018 | Pending |  | No |  |
| 08 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 2017 | Yes | 82.33 | No |  |

## IDW Regents Honors Endorsement Analysis - "Buckets"

| ELA |  | Math 1 |  | Math 2 |  | Math 3 |  | Life Science |  | Physical Science 1 |  | Physical Science 2 |  | Social Studies 1 |  | Social Studies 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Score | Exempt? | Score | Exempt? | Score | Exempt? | Score | Exempt? | Score | Exempt? | Score | Exempt? | Score | Exempt? | Score | Exempt? | Score | Exempt? |
|  |  | 94 | E | 93 | E |  |  | 94 |  | 94 | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77 | E | 66 |  |  |  |  |  | 73 |  |  |  |  |  | 68 | E | 65 | E |
|  | E | 97 |  | 93 | E | 87 | E | 93 |  | 98 | E | 85 | E |  | E |  | E |
| 78 | E | 82 |  | 78 |  | 75 |  | 83 |  | 91 | E | 83 |  | 93 |  |  | E |

Schedule a one-on-one training by contacting Stephanie Witt SWitt1@nasboces.org

516-608-6623

## Regents Course Grade Analysis

- This report compares students final course grades with their June Regents assessment scores.
- Only first time Regents test-takers are included in this report.
- Districts that do not upload final numeric grades to Level 0 will not be able to run this report.
- The Regents exemptions for the 2020 school year do not have Regents scores and are eliminated from this report.
- This report contains tabs for the following subgroups: all students, gender, ethnicity, poverty status, disability status or ELL status.
- Currently available in the State Reporting folder for district users only.


## Regents Course Grade Analysis

Select Regents Assessment
Geometry Regents (CC)
Local Course Name


| Summary Table |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\#$ <br> Students | $\%$ <br> Students | \% Course Grade $>$ <br> 64 | \% Regents Score > <br> 64 | \% Pass Both Course and <br> Regents | Average Course <br> Grade | Average Regents <br> Score | Median Course <br> Grade | Median Regents <br> Grade |
|  | $100.0 \%$ | $96.4 \%$ | $95.1 \%$ | $93.6 \%$ | 83.0 | 82.0 | 86 | 82 |

## Regents Course Grade Analysis

Assessment
Regents Common Core Geom.


## Regents Course Grade Analysis



## Regents Course Grade Analysis - Gender



## Regents Course Grade Analysis - Poverty

Poverty - From Low Income Fa.


## Regents Course Grade Analysis - ELL

LEP Status
ELL Eligible Not ELL Eligible


## Regents Course Grade Analysis - Local Course



## Regents Course Grade Analysis - Local Course

GRADE 8 GENERAL CLASS
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# Advanced Regents Diploma Rates A Gold Standard of Progress 

Grade 4
English Language Arts
Grade 4 English Language Arte Performance in January 1999 (All Students: General Edı cation an Special Education)

Grade 4

## Mathematics

Grade 4 Mathematics Performance in June 1999


## Grade 8

Grade 8
English Language Arts


Performance for Regents English or Approved Alternative (All General Education Students)


Performance for Regents Mathematics or Approved Alternative


## Final Scores vs Interim Scores


$B^{n} \overbrace{}^{a} \underbrace{s} \underbrace{s}{ }^{a}$

## Graduation Rates and Advanced Regents Diploma RatesHigh Stakes Issues for Students

- Have Advanced Regents Diploma Rates changed in recent years?
- To find out, go to the IDW!


## Go to the Reports menu and then to the "District Comparison Reports" folder to find data on Diploma Rates.

(b) Recent

My content

Team content

园
My portal pagesRecent

```
```

[g Team content > Reports

```
```

[g Team content > Reports
C) College Tracking
C) College Tracking
10/8/2019 10:28 AM Comparison of 3-8 ELA and Math Scores Across Districts
10/8/2019 10:28 AM Comparison of 3-8 ELA and Math Scores Across Districts
Common Data Views
Common Data Views
6/11/20218:26 AM
6/11/20218:26 AM
Reports based on the NYS Media Database
Reports based on the NYS Media Database
11/4/2014 2:32 PM
11/4/2014 2:32 PM
District Comparison Reports
District Comparison Reports
3/27/2018 10:23 AM
3/27/2018 10:23 AM
Reports based on the NYS Report Card Database
Reports based on the NYS Report Card Database
\square
\square
3/27/2018 10:23 AM
3/27/2018 10:23 AM
Performance Level Comparison Reports
Performance Level Comparison Reports
10/9/2018 11:46 AM
10/9/2018 11:46 AM
State Reporting
State Reporting
3/27/2018 10:27 AM
3/27/2018 10:27 AM
(a) Advanced Placement Download - Level 0 Extract
(a) Advanced Placement Download - Level 0 Extract
12/4/2020 8:31 AM
12/4/2020 8:31 AM
a. Advanced Placement Summary Charts
a. Advanced Placement Summary Charts
8/30/2021 2:48 PM
8/30/2021 2:48 PM
6/27/2019 10:07 AM

```
6/27/2019 10:07 AM
```

(15) Comparison of 3-8 ELA and Math Scores Across Districts 29/2020 3:19 PM
Comparison of Diploma Types Awarded by Districts


Comparison of Gracuatron Reates by District 7/11/2021 9:14 AM
(i.) Comparison of Post-Graduate Plans Across Districts 5/29/2020 3:20 PM
(i.) Comparison of Regents Scores Across Districts 8/25/2021 1:31 PM
(品) NYS \& Regents Annual Assessment Summary 6/1/2020 4:31 PM
(i.) Relationship Between NYS Score ... District Demographic Factors 7/24/2020 2:02 PM
(i.) Relationship Between Regents Sc ... District Demographic Factors 7/24/2020 2:02 PM

```
Advanced Placement Summary Table
```

Advanced Placement Summary Table
8/30/2021 5:39 PM

```
8/30/2021 5:39 PM
```
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## Choose the most recent cohort and the All Students subgroup

© B̉ळ̉ẺS̉

## Comparison of Diploma Types Awarded by Districts Report Options

 total $100 \%$, as the cohort also includes dropouts, GED or IEP diplomas, and students that are still enrolled. Users may compare their district to any number of additional districts.
요
Report Options
Select Cohort from the dropdown (required)
elect My District from the dropdown (required, defaults to your home district).
Select Subgroup Category from the list box (required)
Select Subgroup from the list box (required)
Select a Benchmark to include on the chart. By default, both the Nassau County and New York State benchmarks are selected and will appear as additional columns next to your home district
Select Comparison Districts to appear alongside your district and benchmarks. You may select any number of comparison districts by holding down your Ctrl key and clicking multiple districts



Select Benchmark OShow Both County/State Benchmark
Do Not Show Any Benchmark
Show County Benchmark Only
Show State Benchmark Only


## Chart for Selected Nassau County Districts



## How did Advanced Regents Diplomas change after the pandemic?



## Common Data Views

Common Questions New York State Stakeholders Have In Common Regarding the Administration of the Spring 2021 State Assessments
-What was the percentage of participation?
-What were the environmental conditions for those who participated?

- What was the level of effort given by students who participated?
- How meaningful would a benchmark be within a gap report?


## Common New York Views

## What are Common Data Views?

A common set of reports provided by all (12) New York Regional Information Centers to support the following needs:

Provide educators, across the state, with a common framework to analyze school data.

Supply educators with the appropriate data to identify areas of success along with areas in need for improvement.

## Performance Report with Gap Analysis by District

| Performance Report with Gap Analysis by District |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Name: <br> School Year: 2021 | Test: Grade 6 Math |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { District } \\ & \mathrm{n}=129 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nassau } \\ & \mathrm{n}=7,811 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | Points Earnec | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \% \mathrm{CR} \\ \text { Full } \\ \text { Credit } \end{array}$ | Points Earned | Gap to Nassau |
| Domain: Expressions and Equations |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cluster: Reason about and solve one-variable equations and inequalities. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Content.6.EE.B. 5 Understand solving an equation or inequality as a process of answering a question: which values from a specified set, if any, make the equation or inequality true? Use substitution to determine whether a given number in a specified set makes an equation or inequality true. | 01-MC | 89\% |  | 83\% | 6\% |
|  | 15-MC | 49\% |  | 52\% | -3\% |
| Content.6:EE:B. 6 Use varables to represent numbers and wnite expressions when solving a real-word or mathematical problem; understand that a variable can represent an unknown number, or, depending on the purpose at hand, any number in a specified set. | 24-MC | 88\% |  | 81\% | 7\% |
| Content.6.EE.B. 7 Solve real-world and mathematical problems by writing and solving equations of the form $\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{q}$ and $\mathrm{px}=\mathrm{q}$ for cases in which $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}$ and x are all nonnegative rational numbers. | 08-MC | 84\% |  | 77\% | 6\% |
| Cluster: Represent and analyze quantitative relationships between dependent and independent variables. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Content.6.EE.C. 9 Use variables to represent two quantities in a real-world problem that change in relationship to one another, write an equation to express one quantity, thought of as the dependent variable, in terms of the other quantity, thought of as the independent variable. Analyze the relationship between the dependent and independent variables using graphs and tables, and relate these to the equation. | 04-MC | 64\% |  | 68\% | -4\% |
|  | 12-MC | 26\% |  | 36\% | -9\% |
| Domain: Geometry |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cluster: Graph points on the coordinate plane to solve real-world and mathematical problems. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Content.5.G.A. 2 Represent real world and mathematical problems by graphing points in the first quadrant of the coordinate plane, and interpret coordinate values of points in the context of the situation. | 20-MC | 64\% |  | 57\% | 6\% |
| Cluster: Solve real-world and mathematical problems involving area, surface area, and volume. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Content.6.G.A. 1 Find the area of right triangles, other triangles, special quadrilaterals, and polygons by composing into rectangles or decomposing into triangles and other shapes; apply these techniques in the context of solving real-world and mathematical problems. | 16-MC | 65\% |  | 50\% | 15\% |
| Content.6.G.A. 2 Find the volume of a right rectangular prism with fractional edge lengths by packing it with unit cubes of the appropriate unit fraction edge lengths, and show that the volume is the same as would be found by multiplying the edge lengths of the prism. Apply the formulas $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{I} \mathrm{wh}$ and $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{bh}$ to find volumes of right rectangular prisms with fractional edge lengths in the context of solving real-world and mathematical problems. | 10-MC | 50\% |  | 44\% | 7\% |
| Content.6.G.A. 3 Draw polygons in the coordinate plane given coordinates for the vertices; use coordinates to find the length of a side joining points with the same first coordinate or the same second coordinate. Apply these techniques in the context of solving real-world and mathematical problems. | 07-MC | 74\% |  | 64\% | 10\% |
| Content.6.G.A. 4 Represent three-dimensional figures using nets made up of rectangles and triangles, and use the nets to find the surface area of these figures. Apply these techniques in the context of solving real-world and mathematical problems. | 21-MC | 87\% |  | 61\% | 26\% |

- The report is organized by learning standard and shows the number and percent of multiple - choice questions answered correctly.
- This common view shows the percentage of total points earned for the school, as compared to the regional percent correct (Nassau County), for each item.


## Released Questions Performance

 by DistrictCommon View \#3 Released Questions Performance by District
District Name:
Test: Grade 6 Math
School Year: 2021
This report is only for 3-8 NYSED Released Questions. It is organized by learning standard and shows the number and percent of multiple choice questions answered correctly as well as the points awarded for constructed response questions. The constructed response section also indicates the percentage of ansertions for which students were awarded full credit. Gaps shown for the comparison group(s) selected indicate the difference in the percentage of points earned between the district and the comparison group. Positive percentages indicate that the district outperformed the comparison group.

| MC Gap | Analysis |  | Distribution of Responses (Blue/Bold = Correct)District $\mathrm{n}=129$ |  |  |  |  | Region $\mathrm{n}=7811$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question Number | CCLS | \% Correct | A | B | c | D | № Response | \% Correct | Gap to Region |
| 01 | Content.6.E.B. 5 | 89\% ( 115) | 1\% (1) | 8\% (10) | 89\% ( 115) | 2\% (3) | 0\% ( 0 ) | 83\% | 6\% |
| 02 | Content.6.RP.A.3c | 84\% ( 109) | 4\% (5) | 6\% (8) | 5\% (7) | 84\% (109) | 0\% ( 0 ) | 71\% | 14\% |
| 03 | Content.6.NS.B. 4 | $78 \%$ ( 100) | 16\% (21) | 78\% ( 100) | 3\% (4) | 3\% (4) | 0\% ( 0 ) | 68\% | 9\% |
| 04 | Content.6.EE.C. 9 | 64\% ( 82) | 29\% (38) | 2\% (3) | 64\% ( 82) | 5\% (6) | 0\% (0) | 68\% | -4\% |
| 05 | Content.6.EE.A.2c | 90\% ( 116) | 3\% (4) | 2\% (2) | 5\% (6) | 90\% (116) | 1\% (1) | 72\% | 18\% |
| 06 | Content.6.R.P.A. 1 | 90\% ( 116) | 7\% ( 9) | 90\% ( 116) | 2\% (2) | 1\% (1) | 1\% (1) | 70\% | 20\% |
| 07 | Content.6.G.A. 3 | 74\% (95) | 9\% ( 12) | 5\% (6) | 12\% ( 16) | 74\% ( 95) | 0\% ( 0 ) | 64\% | 10\% |
| 08 | Content.6.EE.B. 7 | 84\% ( 108) | 2\% (3) | 84\% ( 108) | 9\% (11) | 5\% (7) | 0\% (0) | 77\% | 6\% |
| 09 | Content.6.NS.C.6c | 61\% (79) | 26\% (34) | 4\% (5) | 61\% ( 79) | 9\% (11) | 0\% ( 0 ) | 47\% | 14\% |
| 10 | Content.6.G.A. 2 | 50\% (65) | 50\% (65) | 23\% (30) | 15\% ( 19) | 12\% ( 15) | 0\% (0) | 44\% | 7\% |
| 11 | Content.6.RP.A.3c | 48\% (62) | 8\% ( 10) | 11\% (14) | 33\% ( 43) | 48\% ( 62) | 0\% (0) | 51\% | -3\% |
| 12 | Content.6.EE.C. 9 | 26\% ( 34) | 26\% ( 34) | 17\% (22) | 40\% ( 52 ) | 16\% (21) | 0\% ( 0 ) | 36\% | -9\% |
| 13 | Content.6.EE.A. 1 | 72\% (93) | 72\% ( 93) | 9\% (11) | 14\% ( 18) | 5\% (7) | 0\% ( 0 ) | 61\% | 11\% |
| 14 | Content.6.RP.A.3b | 84\% ( 109) | 4\% (5) | $3 \%$ (4) | 84\% ( 109) | 9\% (11) | 0\% (0) | 75\% | 10\% |
| 15 | Content.6.EE.B. 5 | 49\% (63) | 49\%(63) | 22\% (28) | 9\% (11) | 21\% (27) | 0\% (0) | 52\% | -3\% |
| 16 | Content.6.G.A. 1 | $65 \%$ (84) | 8\% ( 10 ) | 6\% (8) | 65\% ( 84) | 21\% (27) | 0\% (0) | 50\% | 15\% |
| 17 | Content.6.NS.C. 6 | $71 \%$ (92) | 12\% ( 16) | 3\% (4) | 13\% ( 17) | 71\% ( 92) | 0\% (0) | 63\% | 8\% |
| 18 | Content.6.RP.A.3b | 77\% (99) | 7\% (9) | 77\% ( 99) | 7\% (9) | 9\% ( 12) | 0\% ( 0 ) | 74\% | 3\% |
| 19 | Content.6.EE.A. 3 | 75\% (97) | 75\% (97) | 16\% (21) | 4\% (5) | 5\% (6) | 0\% (0) | 58\% | 17\% |
| 20 | Content.5.G.A. 2 | 64\% (82) | 12\% ( 15) | 64\% (82) | 14\% ( 18) | 11\% ( 14) | 0\% (0) | 57\% | 6\% |
| 21 | Content.6.G.A. 4 | 87\% (112) | 2\% (3) | 4\% (5) | $7 \%$ (9) | 87\% ( 112) | 0\% ( 0 ) | 61\% | 26\% |

- This report is organized by learning standard and shows the number of released multiple - choice questions answered correctly as well as the distribution of student responses.
- The number and percent of students who selected each multiple-choice response is represented.


## Individual Performance Report

| Individual Student Performance Report by Subskill - MC |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  peccentige of questions for wich the student was avarded fill credit: lia compaison gropp's peformance is included, that grops's average percentages of the same measures ave indicated. |  |  |  |  |
| Location: <br> Test Grade6 Math <br> Numeric Score: <br> State Percentile: |  |  |  |  |
| Wriple Choice Andy is |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Questions } \end{gathered}$ |  | Student $\%$ Coreed | Distict $\%$ Coreet $n=129$ |
| Strant: Exprasions and Equations |  |  |  |  |
| Cluster: Apply and extend previous undestandingy of a fittmeicicto aggevicic expressions. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 1 | 10\% | $72 \%$ |
|  patalula oded (Orded O Opeations). | 1 | 1 | 10\% | 90\% |
|  | 1 | 1 | 10\% | 75\% |
|  | 1 | 1 | 10\% | 80\% |
| Cluster: Reason about and solve one-variable equations and inequalities. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 1 | 50\% | 6\% |
|  | 1 | 1 | 10\% | 88\% |
|  | 1 | 0 | 0\% | 24\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  <br>  | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 45\% |

- This view provides information related to students' individual performance.
- Each student's results are grouped by domain, cluster, and standard and compared to the district.


## Where do I retrieve released questions?

Released 2021 3-8 ELA and Mathematics State Test Questions


On this page you will find links to access released questions used on the 2021 ELA/Literacy and Mathematics Grade 3-8 state tests. There are questions available in every grade (3-8) for both ELA and Mathematics. The mathematics editions have been translated into eight other languages and are available here: Released 2021 3-8 Mathematics State Test Questions - Translated Editions.

Grades 3-8 English Language Arts Released Test Questions:

- Grade 3
- Grade 4
- Grade 5
- Grade 6
- Grade 7
https://www.engageny.org/resource/released-2021-3-8-ela-and-mathematics-state-test-questions

Just for your edification.....We dived deep into raw data Math and ELA Comparison Over (2) years

|  |  | 5 | Me | $67$ | GLi |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | 2021 | 2019 | 2021 | 2019 | 2021 | 2019 | 2021 |
| Test takers | 10,250 | 8,350 | 8,300 | 6,600 | 10,400 | 8,600 | 9,500 | 7,900 |
| Range -\% | 44.2- | 43.5- | 41.5- | 41.3- | 39.7- | 58.8- | 45.5- | 41.1 |
| Correct | REMEMBER: Raw Data - Test difficulty can vary from year to year. |  |  |  |  |  | 3.6 | 90.0 |
| Mean \% correct |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3 | 69 |
| Median \% correct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 70.5 67 65.1 61.2 67.9 67.1 |  |  |  |  |  | 73.1 | 73.4 |

Actual Nassau County enrollment in 2020 was approximately 15,500 in Grade 5 and 15,800 in Grade 7.
Actual Nassau County enrollment in 2020 was approximately 14,500 in Grade 4 and 15,600 in Grade 6.

The Instructional Data Warehouse (IDW) and the STAR and NWEA Assessments announce a happy union between third party test data and NYS Grade 3-8 test data.
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## STAR and NWEA Menu of Available IDW Reports



Fall Assessment

| Score | Percentile | Projected Proficiency | Score | Percentile | Projected Proficiency | Score | Percentile | Projected Proficiency | Test <br> Name | Score | Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\longrightarrow$ |  |  |  |
| 219 |  | NYS Level 3 | $229$ | 82 | NYS Level 3 | $236$ |  | NYS Level 4 | Grade $5$ <br> Math | 608 | Level 3 |
| 202 | 32 | NYS Level $1$ | 208 | 34 | NYS Level <br> 1 | 209 | 28 | NYS Level $1$ | Grade 5 Math | 999 | Receiving entirely remote instruction |
| 211 | 55 | NYS Level | 208 | 34 | NYS Level | 221 | 55 | NYS Level | Grade <br> 5 Math | 999 | Receiving entirely remote instruction |
| 179 | 2 | NYS Level | 186 | 4 | NYS Level | 192 | 5 | NYS Level | Grade $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ \text { Math } \end{array}$ | 999 | Refusal |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 217 | 46 | NYS Level 2 | Grade 5 Math | 605 | Level 3 |
| 215 | 65 | NYS Level | 209 | 36 | NYS Level | 211 | 32 | NYS Level $1$ | Grade 5 Math | 999 | Receiving entirely remote instruction |
| 191 | 12 | NYS Level | 203 | 23 | NYS Level | 206 | 22 | NYS Level $1$ | Grade $5$ <br> Math | 577 | Level 1 |
| 196 | 19 | NYS Level | 200 | 18 | NYS Level $1$ |  |  |  | Grade | 577 | Level 1 |
| 218 | 72 | NYS Level 3 | 230 | 83 | NYS Level $3$ | 229 | 73 | NYS Level 3 | Grade 5 Math | 630 | Level 4 |
| 217 | 70 | NYS Level | 222 | 68 | NYS Level $3$ | 227 | 69 | NYS Level $3$ | Grade $5$ <br> Math | 999 | Receiving entirely remote instruction |

## STAR and NWEA Menu of Available IDW Reports

Team content $>$ Reports $>$ NWEA Reports
NWEA and NYS Assessment Comparison
2/26/2021 10:01 AM

## Prompt Page

## STAR Projected NYS Proficiency by Season and Grade Report Options

## Purpose:

The STAR Projected NYS Proficiency by Season and Grade Report is a tabbed report that displays aggregated projections of NYS 3-8 proficiency based on student performance on the STAR assessments. Data ar provided for each grade and season within a single year. Each tab, located at the top of the report page, provides a different look at projected proficiency/performance levels on the NYS assessments. The Proficier displays overall proficiency for each seasonal STAR administration by grade level. The Proficiency Level $3 \& 4$ tab contains a stacked column that displays proficiency in two groups (Level 3 and Level 4 ) for each seas STAR administration by grade level. The All Levels tab displays a stacked column chart that displays each performance level, regardless of proficiency Level 1 , Level 2 , Level 3 , and Level 4 ). The Tabular Data tab coi crosstab table that details the numbers and percentages for each item, grade, and performance level. Student details are available by drilling through on the chart columns.

## PLEASE USE CAUTION WHEN COMPARING CHARTS THAT ARE BASED ON DIFFERENT FILTERING CRITERIA.

1. The $Y$-Axis range on the charts are not static and may change based on filtering criteria.
. The color palettes used in the charts (and their respective legends) are not static and may change slightly based on different filtering criteria. For example, if the report only contains levels 1,2 , and 3 , "Level 1 " will as yellow instead of orange.

## Report Options:

Select School Year: Choose the school year associated with the STAR assessment administration.
Select Report Type: Choose either District or Buildings. Running a report by building will display another prompt that requires a specific school building be selected. Select Subject Area: Choose either ELA or Mathematics.
Select Season: This is a multi-select prompt that allows the selection of Fall, and/or Winter, and/or Spring. Please note that selecting none is the same as selectingall
Select Grade: This is a multi-select prompt that allows the selection of one or more grades. Please note that selecting none is the same as selecting all.


## Projected NYS Proficiency by Season and Grade
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Test scores rose more than originally projected in both Winter and Spring. Thus, projected proficiency increased on the chart for both test administrations.

Grade
$02 \bigcirc 03 \bigcirc 04 \bigcirc 06 \bigcirc 07$


Test scores rose more than originally projected in Winter, but scores were less than projected in Spring. The decrease in projected proficiency shown for Spring is not necessarily a decrease in actual scores.

## Disaggregated by Poverty




ONWARD AND UPWARD!
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## Contact your Nassau BOCES IDW Team:

Stephanie Witt, Supervisor
switt1@nasboces.org
(516) 608-6623

Fred Cohen, Consultant
fcohen@nasboces.org
(516) 608-6640

Tammy Mazza, Prog. Specialist tmazza@nasboces.org
(516) 608-6633



